

240

Results

300

Determination

Meets

Requirements

x 25%

Needs

Intervention

217.5

out of 300

Needs

Assistance

180

120

Results Score

AU Compliance Matrix 2018



Administrative Unit: 19205 - Elizabeth, Elbert C-1

Indicator	Performance	Points Eligible	Points Earned	
4A: Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspension and expulsion from the state rate.	0.9%	2	2	
2 = The rate of children with disabilities who received suspensions/expulsions for > 10 do 1 = Rate is above threshold for current year 0 = Rate is above threshold for the current and previous two school years	ays in a school year was b	elow 2.9%		
4B: Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions from the state rate by race and ethnicity.	No Significant Discrepancy	2	2	
2 = No racial category was found with significant discrepancy for Indicator 4B 1 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for current year 2 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for the current an procedures, and/or practices were found to contribute to the significant discrepancy and development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and	l do not comply with requ	irements relati	policies, ng to the	
ndicator 9: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups n special education and related services due to inappropriate dentification.	NO disproportionate representation	2	2	
ndicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories due to inappropriate identification.	NO disproportionate representation	2	2	
2 = No racial category was found with disproportionate representation due to inapproprie	ate identification for Indi	cators 9 and 10.		
= At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the c	urrent gedi urrent and previous year			
 At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the c At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the c 	urrent and previous year	2	2	
= At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the c = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the c andicator 11: Timely initial evaluation	urrent and previous year	2 2	2 2	
= At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the content of	urrent and previous year			
= At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the content of the content	100% 100% 100%	2 2	2	
= At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the content of	100% 100% 100% 100% Ves	2 2 previous year	2	
= At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the content of	100% 100% 100% 100% Ves	2 2 previous year	2 2	
= At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the content of	100% 100% 100% 100% Ves	2 2 previous year	2 2	
= At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the content of	100% 100% 100% 100% Ves Education Discipline Col	2 previous year 2 lection, and	2 2 2	
= At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the control = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the condicator 11: Timely initial evaluation Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. Indicator 13: Secondary transition I Indicators were at least 95% compliant I Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant I Indicators were less than 75% compliant in the current year OR less than 95% compliant in the current year OR less t	100% 100% 100% 100% Ves Education Discipline Col	2 2 previous year 2 lection, and	2 2 2	

AU Results Matrix 2018



Administrative Unit: 19205 - Elizabeth, Elbert C-1

ELA	Terret english ke	4404	ELA	Math	MATH	8	1 65%
Participated	82	66% 0.0%			Participated Excused		0.0%
Excused	The state of the s				Parent Opt-out	43	
Parent Opt-out	42 0	33.9% 0.0%			Unexcused		0.0%
Unexcused	66.1				OSEP Participation Rate		55.3%
OSEP Participation Rate	100.			Control of the contro	CO IEP Participation Rate	100.0%	
CO IEP Participation Rate		076		AU'S		Points	
STATE Assessme		N	1 %	Percentile	Rubric	Eligible	Points Earned
(Part of Indicator 3b) ELA Participation		82	100.0%	-	95%≤AU = 3	3	3
Math Participation		81	100.0%		AU < 95% = 0	3	3
Matri Participation			Mean Scale	AU's		Points	
(Part of Indicator 3c)		N	Score	Percentile	Rubric	Eligible	Points Earned
ELA Mean Scale Score	Current IEP	78	702.7		AU ≥ 712 = 9		6
				48	712> AU ≥701.9 = 6	9	
(reg)	* IEP Exiter	22	713.7		701.9> AU ≥693.6 =3		
	* Combined	100	705.1		AU <693.6 = 0 AU ≥ 711.8 = 9		
Math Mean Scale Sore	Current IEP	77	710.5		AU ≥ 711.6 = 9 711.8> AU ≥701.1 = 6	-	
(reg)	* IEP Exiter	23	722.4	87	701.1> AU ≥694.2 = 3	9	6
* IEP Exiter and Combined provided for information only	* Combined	100	713.3		AU <694.2 = 0		
Alternate (Part of Indi	icator 3c)	N	%	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned
ELA Prof Rate (Alt)		n<16	-	-	AU ≥ 48.8% = 6 48.8%> AU ≥31.6% = 4 31.6%> AU ≥17.9% = 2 AU <17.9% = 0	0	0
Math Prof Rate (Alt)		n<16	-	-	AU ≥ 26.7% = 6 26.7% > AU ≥14.1% = 4 14.1%> AU ≥ 6.9% = 2 AU <6.9% = 0	0	0
Note: ELA and MATH 2015-16	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	oined					
Preschool Achiev	rement			AU's	Rubric	Points	Britis Francis
and Growth (Indica	tor 7)**	N	% Succeeded	Percentile	0 .5 1 1.5	Eligible	Points Earned
A. Positive social- emotional skills	Growth		77.8%	38	73.9%82%91.5%	1.5	0.5
	Achievement		88.9%	96	59.6%·····67.5%·····82.8%	1.5	1.5
B. Acquisition & Use of Knowledge and Skills	Growth	18	100.0%	97	72.1%80.4%91.5%	1.5	1.5
	Achievement		88.9%	94	55.9%·····69.3%·····81.8%	1.5	1.5
C. Use of appropriate	Growth		100.0%	97	66.7%·····76.2%·····86.6%	1.5	1.5
behaviors to meet their needs	Achievement		94.4%	94	61.8%·····71.4%·····86%	1.5	1.5

^{**}Growth = Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by time of exit from the program; Achievement = functioning within age expectations by time of exit

Median Growth Percentile		Median	AU's		Points	Points Earned
	N	Growth %ile	Percentile	Rubric AU ≥ 47 = 15	Eligible	POINTS Earned
ELA	53	39.0	28	$47 > AU \ge 39.1 = 10$ $39.1 > AU \ge 33 = 5$ AU < 33 = 0	15	5
Math	53	56.0	92	$AU \ge 47 = 15$ $47 > AU \ge 40.7 = 10$ $40.7 > AU \ge 34.5 = 5$ AU < 34.5 = 0	15	15
			AU's	AU \ 34.3 - 0	Points	
Rise Up	N	% Rise Up	Percentile	Rubric	Eligible	Points Earned
ELA				90th≤AU = 4 50th≤AU<90th = 3	45	30
	no data	no data		15th≤AU<50th = 2	45	30
Math				AU<15th = 1		30
			AU's		Points	Points Earned
Keep Up	N	% Keep Up	Percentile	Rubric 90th≤AU = 4	Eligible	
ELA	na data	no doto		50th≤AU<90th = 3	15	10
Math	no data	no data		15th≤AU<50th = 2 AU<15th = 1	15	10
	aic Crow	vth Points	Earnod:	100	out of	150
	iic Grov	vui Points	Highest kate	100	roints	130
Graduation Kate	N	%Graduated	Percentile	Rubric	Eligible	Points Earned
Indicator 1 4 Year Grad Rate	25	68.0%	refeerence	AU ≥ 92.3% = 21	3	
5 Year Grad Rate	25	92.0%	90	92.3% > AU ≥ 79.2% = 14	21	14
6 Year Grad Rate	16	81.3% 89.3%	,,,	79.2% > AU ≥ 66.9% = 7		
7 Year Grad Rate Note:	28	07.3/0)	All < 66.9% = 0		
ILP Propout Exiter Rate		ъи opout	AUS		POMES	District Francis
Indicator 2	MAN MAN	Exiters	Percentile	Rubric	Eligible	Points Earned
Rate N = students age ≥ 14 who exited schools	18	5.6%	87	AU < 6.5% = 42 $6.5\% \le AU < 19\% = 28$ $19\% \le AU < 34.2\% = 14$	42	42
% = students who exited due to dropping out		and the same of	and the state of t	AU ≥ 34.2% = 0		
Note:						
Post-School Outcomes					Points	Points Earned
Indicator 14	N		X Comment	Rubric	Eligible	Points Larned
Contacts Attempted N = Students in Sample	32	100	0.0%	AU = 100% = 6 AU < 100% = 0	6	6
% = Contact attempted Students Participated	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR					
N = # in adjusted sample % = of students who participated out of	32	59	.4%	$60\% \le AU = 6$ 60% > AU = 0	6	0
adjusted sample				AU ≥ 91.5% = 30		
Outcome (Enrolled in higher ed, or in some other postsecondary ed or training program;		0004	Percentile	91.5% > AU ≥ 75.3% = 20	30	20
or competitively employed or in some other employment)	19	90%	88	75.3%> AU ≥59.8% = 10 AU < 59.8% = 0	30	20
Note: Contact, Participation, Outcome of 2						
PS and	Workfo	rce Points	Earned:	82	out of	105
	Control of the Contro	Academic A	chievement:	35.5	out of	45
		Academic Growth:			out of	150
		Acade	mic Growth:	100.0	out of	130
	ps			82.0	out of	105
	PS	and Workford	e Readiness:	82.0	out of	105
		and Workford Final R	e Readiness: esults Score:	82.0 217.5	out of	105 300
170 to 300 = Meets Require	R	and Workford Final R esults Dete	e Readiness: esults Score:	82.0 217.5 Meets Regu	out of out of uirem	105 300 ents